# Hydrothermal Plume



Figure 3 Cruise track showing <sup>3</sup>He plume at 2500m

Chris German (WHOI)

#### Why is hydrothermal activity important?

Heat Flux from the Earth's Interior = 43 TW Seafloor Hydrothermal Heat Flux = 11 TW



The entire volume of the oceans is cycled through hi-T vents every ca. 10Ma

(Stein et al., Geophys Monogr. 1995)

### Why is hydrothermal activity important?

IA																	VIIIA
Н	IIA		Perio	dic T	able o	of the	Elem	ents				IIIA	IVA	VA	VIA	VIIA	Не
Li	Be											В	С	Ν	0	F	Ne
Na	Mg	IIIB	IVB	VB	VIB	VIIB	VIIIB	VIIIB	VIIIB	IB	IIB	ΑΙ	Si	Ρ	S	CI	Ar
K	Ca	Sc	Ti	V	Cr	Mn	Fe	Со	Ni	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Se	Br	Kr
Rb	Sr	Υ	Zr	Nb	Мо	Тс	Ru	Rh	Pd	Ag	Cd	In	Sn	Sb	Те		Xe
Cs	Ba	La	Hf	Та	W	Re	Os	Ir	Pt	Au	Hg	TI	Pb	Bi	Po	At	Rn
Fr	Ra	Ac	Rf	Db	Sg	Bh	Hs	Mt	Uun	Uuu	Uub						
				Се	Pr	Nd	Pm	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Но	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu
				Th	Ра	U	Np	Pu	Am	Cm	Bk	Cf	Es	Fm	Md	No	Lr

Enriched with respect to seawater on a CI- normalized basis Depleted with respect to seawater on a CI- normalized basis

Enriched and depleted

(German & Von Damm, *Treatise of Geochem.*, 2004)

#### Physics of hydrothermal plumes



$$z_{max} = 3.76 \ F_0^{1/4} N^{-3/4}$$
$$N^2 = -(g/\rho_0).d\rho/dz$$
$$\tau = \pi N^{-1}$$

(Helfrich & Speer, *Geophys Monogr.*, 1995)

#### Physics of hydrothermal plumes



(data from Sands et al., EPSL., in review)

#### **Time-scales for Hydrothermal Circulation**

#### Global hydrothermal fluxes: heat and volume

	Heat Flux	Water Flux
	(WT)	(10 <sup>16</sup> g.yr <sup>-1</sup> )
Axial Flow (0-1 Ma Crust)		
a) if 100% as Hi-T (350°C) fluids	2.8	5.6
b) if 50% @ 350°C; 50% @ 5°C	2.8	240
Off-Axis Flow (1-65 Ma Crust)	7.0	~2500
Riverine Discharge (approx)		~4000
Hydrothermal plumes (50% of 0-1 Ma)		~28,000

(revised from Elderfield & Schultz, Ann.Rev.Earth.Planet.Sci., 1996)

#### **Time-scales for Hydrothermal Circulation**

Entire volume of oceans cycled through plumes every ~2kyr?



(German & Von Damm, *Treatise of Geochem.*, 2004)

# Hydrothermal Plume Geochemistry



- Noble Gases
- Reduced gases
- Fe & Mn
- Other metals
- Microbes
- Larvae

(after Lupton & Craig, Science, 1981)

#### Plume Geochemistry - Reactive Tracers



(Kadko et al., EPSL, 1990)



(Chu et al., *EPSL*, 2006)



(Bennett et al., EPSL, 2008)



(Yucel et al., Nat. Geosci., 2011)



(M.Saito et al., CoFeMUG Cruise, 2007)



(Wu et al., GCA, 2011)



(Tagliabue et al., *Nat. Geosci.*, 2010)

#### **Plume Geochemistry - Fe oxidation**



(Field & Sherrell, GCA, 2000)

### Indian Ocean Fe oxidation: $t(1/2) = 2.3 \pm 0.2$ hours





Order 50% of vent-Fe arrives at plume-height as dissolved Fe(II)

(Statham et al., EPSL, 2005)

#### Plume Particle Geochemistry: Modification of hydrothermal vent-fluxes



(German et al., EPSL, 1991)

### Hydrothermal Scavenging: 3 forms





(German & Von Damm, *Treatise on Geochemistry*, 2004)

# "Oxyanions": P, V, As, Cr, U



(data from German et al., EPSL, 1991)

#### Hydrothermal Phosphorous Cycling







(Feely et al., *EPSL*, 1990)

#### Hydrothermal Phosphorous Cycling



Can hydrothermal sediments be used to trace global climate change?

(Feely et al., GRL, 1998)

#### "Chalcophiles": Cu, Zn, Pb, Cd, Ag



(data from German et al., EPSL, 1991)

#### Alternately: Oxidative Dissolution?



(see Metz & Trefry, JGR, 1993)

### "Scavenged": Be, Y, REE, Th, Pa



(data from German et al., Nature, 1990)

#### Alternately: Dissolved REE pool depleted?



Fig. 8. Sm vs. Fe for TAG plume particles (after German et al., 1990), indicating response of particulate Sm to dissolved Sm drawdown at high particulate Fe (dashed line), and conservative mixing which would be observed in the case of no drawdown of the dissolved REE pool (solid line).

#### REE: Hydrothermal vs Seawater Sources



(German et al., *Nature*, 1990)

#### REE: Hydrothermal vs Seawater Sources



(German et al., *Nature*, 1990)

#### REE: Hydrothermal vs Seawater Sources



(German et al., GCA, 1997)

#### Pb isotopes: Mantle vs Seawater Sources



(German et al., GCA, 1997)

#### Hydrothermal vs Boundary Scavenging



(Shimmield & Price, GCA, 1988)

#### Hydrothermal vs Boundary Scavenging



Table 7: Be-Th fractionation in core GC88-6								
Core	Depth	10Be(0)/ 230Thxs(0)	ID[Be]					
(Location)	(cm)	(10e9at/dpm)						
GC88-6	2.0-4.0	0.199	2.72					
(J.d.F.)	11.0-12.0	0.171	2.34					
	27.5-28.0	0.080	1.10					
	49.5-50.0	0.128	1.75					
	83.0-83.5	0.079	1.09					
	104.5-105.0	-	-					
	107.5-108.0	0.072	0.99					
	111.0-112.0	0.057	0.78					
	114.5-115.5	0.070	0.96					
	119.0-120.0	0.075	1.02					
	126.0-126.5	0.067	0.92					
	136.0-137.0	0.088	1.21					
	140.0-143.0	0.083	1.14					
TAG-2182*	8.0-9.0	0.045	0.37					
(M.A.R.)	9.0-10.0	0.049	0.40					
· · ·	10.0-11.0	0.028	0.23					
TT154-10†	1.2-2.4	0.110	1.51					
(E.P.R.)	4.2-6.0	0.096	1.32					
V19-55†	0.0-2.0	0.117	1.60					
(E.P.R.)	8.0-10.0	0.116	1.59					
* Data from Bourlès et al. (1994) † Data from Anderson et al. (1990)								



& Anderson (pers.comm., 1997)

(German et al., GCA, 1997)

Rainbow plume cell counts > 300% background for NADW



(data from O'Brien & Patching, EPSL, 1998)



(Cowen & Li, *J. Mar. Res*, 1991)



(Toner et al., *Nat. Geosci.*, 2009)



(Bennett et al., *Deep Sea Res.*, 2011)

# Why the Southern EPR?

**Global Distribution of Hydrothermal Vent Fields** 



#### (www.interridge.org/irvents/)

# Why the Southern EPR?



(after Boström et al., JGR, 1966)

### Why the Southern EPR?





(Shimmield & Price, GCA, 1988)



(Baker & Urabe, JGR, 1996)



(Baker & Urabe, JGR, 1996)



(Feely et al., *GCA.*, 1998)

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

(Lupton, *JGR*, 1998)

![](_page_44_Figure_0.jpeg)

9 or 10 Full-depth Stations (\*3 super) Possible Longitudes: \*150, 145, 140, \*135, 128, 125, 120, 115, 113.5, \*112.8